

Minutes of Hollesley Parish Council Meeting

Held on 16th August 2018 ~ 7.30pm

At Hollesley Village Hall, Woodbridge Road, Hollesley

Present

Cllr. Helen Lewis (Chair), Cllr. Trevor Burbridge, Cllr. Andrew Coyne, Cllr. Brian Devine, Cllr. Michael Friend, Cllr. Hazel Hughes, Cllr. James Mallinder, Cllr. Andrew Palmer and Cllr. Val Pettitt

Judi Hallett (Clerk)

19 members of the public

Record of Public Session:

a) Reports or comment from any member of the public or any other village organisation (notes only):

- With reference to HMP & YOI Hollesley Bay the following comments were made by members of the public:
 - Ex officer, The Governor applied for sex offenders to be at prison, up to 80% of total role, over 300, it's an open prison, they can walk about unsupervised, some don't turn up, staff have to look for prisons, concern about children, serious incident waiting to happen, sudden move is outrageous and dangerous, Councillors should do all they can to stop this,
 - Reopen the officers club as a visitors centre has been rumoured; lots of children and footpaths, no gates, not monitoring, no CCTV near school, other similar prisons do not have schools or footpaths, everything should be done within our power, there is a time and a place for rehabilitation,
 - I Work in the prison, offenders will be going to shingle Street, how is this a measured risk to the public,
 - I call on the Council to propose a number of measure, public meeting addressed by Governor, invite A Reid and Therese Coffey, I spoke to the Chief Constable who is very uncomfortable, we need to demonstrate a unity of view to flush out with a series of actions, been stopped before but it needs a proactive role, this is a threat, we should have rehabilitation but in a more appropriate situation;
 - There should have been a consultation, Perhaps it is a decision that has been made,
 - Needs to be taken forward ASAP – nothing has been received by the prison to say people are unhappy, Governor needs to be aware of the unrest, this decision can be turned round, this will affect all the population, will also affect RSPB visitors, will also affect SPN, due process needs to have been demonstrated and the PC should ask if this has been done
 - Another recent decision was reversed as it was near a school, an online petition may be needed, (One has been set up)
 - people will be very vulnerable, public highway in the middle, Oak Hill is very close, there needs to be more training for the officers, timelines are very short, other inmates are not happy, everybody know the reality of how the prison is stretched and this is going to take an awful lot of more policing, rehabilitation will need lots of resource, they will start coming in in the new year
 - No member of staff will have neem trained yet, three young children, always played outside, if this goes ahead this will stop

Chair's initials.....

- Land next to Glebe House – no planning, no building regulations, ground floor is big enough for 6 vehicles, this must be condoned, we will write our own letter, owner would have been fully aware

b) Reports or comment from ESDC and SCC Councillors:

- Cllr. Reid – *Cllr. Reid was not present*
- Cllr. Block – *Cllr. Block was not present*

Agenda Item	Resolution / Agreement / Fact	Action
1. To receive Apologies for absence	Apologies had been received from Cllr. Anne Hardwick (family matters) and Cllr. John Hardwick (family matters)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noted and accepted
2. To receive any Declarations of Interest on Agenda Items and any applications for dispensation	There were none	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None
3. Public Session (record above)	<i>Please see above</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clerk to set up public meeting
<p>4. <u>To discuss and agree responses to the following Planning Applications:</u></p> <p>a) DC/18/2816/FUL - Proposed single storey side extension. - 57 Oak Hill, Hollesley</p> <p>b) DC/18/2974/FUL - Extension of existing garage/studio space - The Thatch, The Street, Hollesley</p>	<p>a) Councillors made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small single bed side extension, very in keeping with garage conversion • Not out of keeping with other applications and not overlooked • Conclusion - no objection proposed by Cllr. Burbridge, seconded by Cllr. Mallinder and all in favour. <p>b) Councillors made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modest, to rear of existing garage, not usually visible but is only because of a low wall, is In keeping • Unoffensive, plans were well detailed so you could tell what it was used for • Conclusion – no objection proposed by Cllr. Hughes, seconded by Cllr. Palmer and all Councillors were in favour. 	<p>a) Clerk to notify SCDC Planning of HPC’s comments</p> <p>b) Clerk to notify SCDC Planning of HPC’s comments</p>

Chair’s initials.....

Agenda Item	Resolution / Agreement / Fact	Action
<p>c) DC/18/2784/FUL - Retention and completion of cartlodge with ancillary accommodation over. - The Drey, Rectory Road Hollesley</p>	<p>c) Councillors made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More contentious, along the boundary of one of the proposed new properties, cart lodge goes long the length of the rear garden • Trees detail is not on the plans • Two stories looking over the back garden of a house yet to be built • It will be there when people buy the other house, quality is very high, substantial cart lodge, you can see it from Rectory Road but hidden by trees at the moment • This is impacting on the environment of people living there, • This is a separate plot, one house already there and the cart lodge looks like it is for this house • This is retrospective application but that is not mentioned • It is a planning condition if it has been built without planning permission for a reason • Conclusion – object due to it being a retrospective application where planning permission requirements would have been known to the applicant, it impacts on the neighbouring plot and trees and the size is disproportionate to the size of current plot, proposed by Cllr. Burbridge, seconded by Cllr. Coyne; 8 Councillors were in favour with one abstention 	<p>c) Clerk to notify SCDC Planning of HPC's comments</p>
<p>d) DC/18/3208/FUL – Proposed alterations and extensions to Teal House, Mallard Way, Hollesley</p>	<p>d) Councillors made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grey area, reasonable size but significant impact on plot and very visible to all • Hardstanding marked and is not wider enough • Impact on street scene, it would close off the road entrance • It is a substantial extension • If not on the corner it wouldn't have so much of an impact • Conclusion – objection proposed by Cllr. Mallinder seconded by Cllr. Hughes; 7 in favour, 2 abstentions 	<p>d) Clerk to notify SCDC Planning of HPC's comments</p>

Agenda Item	Resolution / Agreement / Fact	Action
<p>5. <u>To receive update on Play Park Project and to agree:</u></p> <p>a) Expenditure on Scoping Phase of Teen Hub element of Project (£6,000 in total)</p>	<p>The Clerk had previously sent a written report on the Play Park Project and this was updated verbally</p> <p>a) The Clerk reiterated the section of her written report regarding the expenses. These were agreed as acceptable by Councillors and it was confirmed that no further expenses would be agreed until the next section of the project could be discussed and agreed by Councillors. Comment was made that the scope of the original project seemed to have expanded but it was felt necessary to consider the needs of young people in the village.</p>	<p>a) Clerk to pay the expenses of Mr Cartwright (£1,000) and Just 42 (max £1,500).</p>
<p>6. <u>Duck Corner to Water Tower Path</u> - To discuss e-mail from SCC Highways and site meeting:</p>	<p>A site meeting had taken place earlier that evening at which Mr David Chenery had kindly explained 4 different options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Full path on the edge of the road with kerbs and drains (circa £100k) ○ Path behind a hedge with suitable entrances(circa £30k) ○ Reduction in speed limit to 40 mph (circa £10k) ○ New signage (circa £2k) <p>It was agreed that each option must be fully explored and that first the two land owners (SPT and Greenwells) should be approached to ask if they would be prepared to sell/lease/donate their land. If this was not a possibility this would rule out options 1 and 2 and the other options would then be explored.</p> <p>The Clerk was also asked to obtain figures for the signs from a private company.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Clerk to write to SPT and Greenwells. Clerk to obtain costs for signage
<p>7. <u>HMP Hollesley Bay</u> – To discuss letter received from HMP Hollesley Bay re changes to permitted personnel at the prison and possible circulation of it</p>	<p>It was agreed that a public meeting must be called. The Clerk was asked to draw up a list of suitable questions and to invite Prison Governor, Councillor Reid, Therese Coffey MP, The Police, the Minister for Prisons, the SPT and RSPB and Hollesley School; along with interested members of the public from all neighbouring villages and the press.</p> <p>The Clerk was also asked to seek legal advice about obtaining a definitive account of the process that should have been followed and what steps could be taken if this was not followed.</p> <p>It was thought a petition may have already been started and the Clerk agreed to seek further advise as to whether or not the PC could promote awareness of this.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Clerk to arrange meeting and seek legal advice on two matters

Agenda Item	Resolution / Agreement / Fact	Action
<p>8. <u>1st Draft SCDC Local Plan - To discuss response from HPC:</u></p>	<p><i>Cllr. Pettit left the meeting at 8.40pm</i> The Clerk had previously sent a draft document to Councillors (as directed in the July meeting) but most Councillors had not had time to read it. After discussion it was agreed only to comment on the section regarding Enabling Development which some had felt had been <i>perhaps</i> sneaked through and was not clear. It was felt that the previous 10 sites put forward by the AOEP were not made clear and that these should be identified if they still are potential sites. It was felt there was a chance some ED sites were still on the table, was ED something on the issue and options stage? Maybe clarification of how SCDC deal with ED was required. It was suggested HPC should challenge how the ED sites are held, clarify previous sites if they would be considered for ED (if not suitable for planning then should not be suitable for ED)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clerk to redraft and send for approval
<p>9. <u>Quiet Lanes</u> – To discuss contributing towards the insurance costs for the ‘Traffic Counting Machines’</p>	<p>Cllr Lewis explained that counters had been put in at Dumb Boy Cottage to count vehicles and pedestrians going to Shingle Street. After discussion Councillors felt they should not be contributing to the cost of these counters as they did not ask for them and could not see the purpose of counting the movements as the Quiet Lanes project had failed due to lack of SCC funds and not data availability.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clerk to write to Mr Cassey and to ask about signage along the Shingle Street Road
<p>10. To receive agenda items for next meeting and agree date of Next Meeting (20th September 2018)</p>	<p>The following items were suggested added to the September agenda:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prison • Establish the August meeting in the Standing Orders <p>Date of next meeting will be 20th September 2018 – 7.30pm – at the Village Hall.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clerk to prepare September agenda

The meeting was closed at 9.00pm

Signed:
 Chair

Date:

Judi Hallett
 Clerk to Hollesley Parish Council

Chair’s initials.....